IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 256 OF 2004

Laxmi Jain and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The Oriental Bank of Commerce and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Suja i/by Vimla & Co. for Petitioners.

Mr. R. Hizari with Ms. Neeta Vyas for R.No. 1.

Ms. Sonal Gokhale i/by Akshar Laws for R. No. 16.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : MARCH 13, 2006

P.C.

. This Petition challenges the interim order passed by the D.R.T. and D.R.A.T. The matter was adjourned on the last occasion as the learned counsel for the Petitioner pointed out to the court that they were awaiting instructions from the Petitioner. When the matter is called out today, the leaned counsel states that he has no instructions.

. Considering that these are interim orders, in our opinion, it will be open to the petitioners, if

so advised to ventilate their grievances in the proceedings which are pending before the D.R.T. In the light of that Rule discharged. No order as to costs. All interim orders stand vacated.

(F.I. REBELLO,J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)